**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**JUN 09 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VICTORINO CRUZ-MARTINEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-70329

Agency No. A91-752-929

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 5, 2006 \*\*

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON and KLEINFELD, Circuit Judges.

Upon review of the record, we conclude that the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument .

Accordingly, respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted. See United

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

06-70329

States v. Hooton, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard for summary disposition).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.